

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P. D. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/084,549	02/25/2002	David Aubrey Jackson	7975		
75	7590 04/08/2004			EXAMINER	
DAVID AUBREY JACKSON			LAYNO, BENJAMIN		
14947 DICKEN SHERMAN OA	NS ST. #1 AKS, CA 91403		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE		
	,	•	3712	2	

DATE MAILED: 04/08/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

`			X7)
. •	Application No.	pplicant(s)	- U
gand.	10/084,549	JACKSON, DA	AVID AVBREY
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Benjamin H. Layno	3712	
The MAILING DATE of this communication  Period for Reply	<u></u>	vith the correspondenc	address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ion.  s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thi period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO a statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered NTHS from the mailing date of t BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)	his communication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for a		tters, prosecution as to	the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice ur	nder <i>Ex par</i> te Q <i>uayl</i> e, 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction is	thdrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exact 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the county The oath or declaration is objected to by the specific specific and the specific	accepted or b) objected to to the drawing(s) be held in abeya correction is required if the drawing	nnce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a g(s) is objected to. See 3	7 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docu 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docu 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B  * See the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been received.  uments have been received in a e priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this Natio	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-943)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/5 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application	(PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/084,549

Art Unit: 3712

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The preamble of claim 1 recites "The method and apparatus". This statement is indefinite because it is not clear whether a method of play a game is being claimed, or whether a game apparatus is being claimed. The claim must be corrected. Either a method of playing a game, or a game apparatus must be claimed, not both.

Claim 1, paragraph f and claim 2 are divided into several sentences. This is improper. Claims must be in single sentence format only.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Larson in view of Begley et al.

The patent to Larson discloses a board game apparatus comprising a plurality of adjacent parallel playing paths numbered "1"-"15". The playing paths are divided into a

Application/Control Number: 10/084,549

**Art Unit: 3712** 

plurality of spaces. The game further comprises a six-sided die or a spinner, Fig. 2, game pieces 14 representing each player. Each player is assigned a plurality of paths "1"-"15". Opposing playing pieces start at opposite ends of the playing paths, and the playing pieces move toward the opponent according to the roll of the die. Players score points when their playing pieces reach spaces 15 and 16, column 4, lines 1-28. The player having most points is the winner, column 4, lines 61-68.

The patent to Begley et al. teaches that it is known in the board game art where players score points, to provide a score path 44 with score markers. In view of such teaching, it would have been obvious to incorporate a score path with score markers to Larson's game. This modification to Larson's game would have made it more convenient to keep track of each player's score.

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The patents to Cohen and Creel disclose board games having a plurality of playing paths and a plurality of playing pieces. Chance device moves the playing pieces along the playing paths.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Benjamin H. Layno whose telephone number is (703) 308-1815. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 1st Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Derris Banks can be reached on (703) 308-1745. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3712

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Benjamin H. Layno Primary Examiner Art Unit 3712

bhl